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## Graph Machine Learning



## Machine Learning

 Block SplitGraph Machine Learning Geometric Split

## Graph is Pervasive


$\frac{\text { Particle System }}{\text { (Physics) }}$

## Convolutional Neural Layer



## Challenge for non-Euclidean

dynamic \# of neighbors
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## Spectral Analysis

## credit: giphy


$\square=w_{0} \cdot \square+w_{1} \cdot \Omega+w_{2} \cdot \bigcap+w_{3} \cdot \bigcap+\ldots$

## Spectral Analysis for Graph

Graph Structure


Graph Signal (e.g.,Traffic Speed)


## What is Graph Convolution

## © Convolution Theorem

- Fourier transform of the convolution of two functions is equal to the point-wise multiplication of their Fourier transforms.


Convolution theorem

$$
f(x, y) * h(x, y) \Leftrightarrow F(u, v) H(u, v)
$$

Space convolution = frequency multiplication


## What is Graph Convolution



## What is Graph Convolution



## Motivation: A Unified View

- What is the space and frequency look like in graph domain?

Convolution theorem

$$
f(x, y) * h(x, y) \Leftrightarrow F(u, v) H(u, v)
$$

[^0]
## Motivation: A Unified View

- A large number of graph neural networks, with different mechanisms


| S | Spatial |
| :--- | :--- |
| S | Spectral |



Design space

[^1]- Challenge for research: no uniform framework to compare them
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## Understand Graph Neural Networks

- Method Overview:
- Goal: Understand Graph Neural Network in Theory
- Advantage: Theoretical understanding in perspective of approximation theory and spectral graph theory
- Higher-order: polynomial and rational approximation
a. Zhiqian Chen, Fanglan Chen, Lei Zhang, Taoran Ji, Kaiqun Fu, Liang Zhao, Feng Chen, Lingfei Wu, Charu Aggarwal, Chang-Tien Lu.
"Bridging the gap between spatial and spectral domains: A unified framework for graph neural networks." ACM Computing Survey, 2023
b. Zhiqian Chen, Feng Chen, Rongjie Lai, Xuchao Zhang, Chang-Tien Lu. Rational Neural Networks for Approximating Graph Convolution Operator on Jump Discontinuities, IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM) 2018


## Normalization

Table 2. Representations for graph topology

| Notations | Descriptions |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | Adjacency matrix |
| $\mathbf{L}$ | Graph Laplacian |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{A + \mathbf { I }}$ | Adjacency with self loop |
| $\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{A}$ | Random walk row normalized adjacency |
| $\mathbf{A D}^{-1}$ | Random walk column normalized adjacency |
| $\mathbf{D}^{-1 / 4} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1 / 4}$ | Symmetric normalized adjacency |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ | Left renormalized adjacency, $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{i i}=\sum_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i j}$ |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}$ | Right renormalized |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1 / 2} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1 / 2}$ | Symmetric renormalized |
| $\left(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\right)^{k}$ | Powers of left renormalized adjacency |
| $\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1}\right)^{k}$ | Powers of right renormalized adjacency |

## Normalization

- Suppose a two-cluster partitioning for $A$ and $B$
- Ratio Cut: $\operatorname{cut}(A, B)\left(\frac{1}{|A|}+\frac{1}{|B|}\right)$
_ Normalized Cut: $\operatorname{cut}(A, B)\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(A)}+\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(B)}\right)$


## Normalization

- Suppose a two-cluster partitioning for $A$ and $B$ - Ratio Cut: $\operatorname{cut}(A, B)\left(\frac{1}{|A|}+\frac{1}{|B|}\right)$

Rayleigh-Ritz Theorem The following quotient is minimized when $f=u_{2}$

$$
\arg \min _{f}=\frac{f^{T} L f}{f^{T} f}
$$

## Normalization

- Suppose a two-cluster partitioning for $A$ and $B$
_ Normalized Cut: $\operatorname{cut}(A, B)\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(A)}+\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(B)}\right)$
Rayleigh-Ritz Theorem The following quotient is minimized when $f=u_{2}$

$$
\arg \min _{f} \frac{f^{T} L f}{f^{T} D f^{\prime}}=\min \frac{f^{T} \tilde{L} f}{f^{T} f}
$$

## Normalization

- Left normalization (row-wise)
- Row: normalized by the diagonal entry
_ E.g., $a_{2,3} \leftarrow \frac{a_{2,3}}{d_{2}}$
- Right normalization (column-wise)
- E.g., $a_{2,3} \leftarrow \frac{a_{2,3}}{d_{3}}$
- Symmetric normalization
- E.g., $a_{2,3} \leftarrow \frac{a_{2,3}}{\sqrt{d_{2}} \sqrt{d_{3}}}$


## Case Study: GCN



Space (vertex) domain

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$



Frequency domain

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}(2-\Lambda) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$



## Case Study: DeepWalk

- Draw a group of random paths from a graph

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{D}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}
$$

- Let the window size (path length) of skip-gram be $2 t+1$ and the current node is the ( $\mathrm{t}+1$ )-th

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\frac{1}{t+1}\left(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}^{2}+\ldots+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}^{t}\right) \mathbf{X}
$$



## Spatial-based GNN

## Spatial

## function of Adjacency

## Linear



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{G C N} \text { Thomas N. Kipf et al. (2016) } \\
& \qquad \mathbf{Z}=\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}) \hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

GraphSAGE Will Hamilton et al. (2017)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$

GIN Xukeyu Lu et al. (2019)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=(1+\epsilon) \cdot \mathbf{h}(v)+\sum_{u_{j} \in \mathcal{N}\left(v_{i}\right)} \mathbf{h}_{\left(u_{j}\right)}=[(1+\epsilon) \mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}] \mathbf{X}
$$

## DeepWalk Bryan Perozzi et al. (2014)

$\mathbf{Z}=\frac{1}{t+1}\left(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{2}+\ldots+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{t}\right) \mathbf{X}=\frac{1}{t+1} \mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}$
ChebyNet Defferrard, Michael et al. (2016)
$\mathbf{Z}=\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_{k} T_{k}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}) \mathbf{X}=\left[\tilde{\theta}_{0} \mathbf{I}+\tilde{\theta}_{1}(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{A}})+\tilde{\theta}_{2}(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{A}})^{2}+\ldots\right] \mathbf{x}=\left(\phi \mathbf{I}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{i}\right) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}$
Node2Vec Aditya Grover et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\left(\frac{1}{p} \cdot \mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}+\frac{1}{q}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\right)\right) \mathbf{X}=\left[\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{I}+\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{A}}+\frac{1}{q} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{2}\right] \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$

## Spectral-based GNN

## Spectral

## function of Eigenvalues

## Linear



GCN Thomas $N$. Kipf et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}(2-\Lambda) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

GraphSAGE Will Hamilton et al. (2017)


GIN Xukeyu Lu et al. (2019)
$\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}[(1+\epsilon) \mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}] \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}[(2+\epsilon) \mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathrm{L}}] \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}(2+\epsilon-\Lambda) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$

## DeepWalk Bryan Perozzi et al. (2014)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\frac{1}{t+1}\left(\mathbf{I}+(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})+(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})^{2}+\ldots+(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})^{t}\right) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}+\theta_{2} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{2}+\ldots+\theta_{t} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{t}\right) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

ChebyNet Defferrard, Michael et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_{k} T_{k}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{0} \cdot 1+\tilde{\theta}_{1} \Lambda+\tilde{\theta}_{2} \Lambda^{2}+\ldots\right) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

Node2Vec Aditya Grover et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \mathbf{I}-\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{L}}+\frac{1}{q} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{2}\right] \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)-\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) \tilde{\Lambda}+\frac{1}{q} \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}\right] \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

## Polynomial and Rational



## Polynomial approximation

$$
f(x)=a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}
$$

simple form, well known properties

## computationally easy to use

notorious for oscillations between exact-fit value only high degree can model complicated structure poor interpolatory/extrapolatory/asymptotic properties

$$
f(x)=\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}
$$

moderately simple form, not well-known properties moderately easy to handle computationally
excellent for oscillations between exact-fit value model complicated structure with a fairly low degree
excellent interpolatory/extrapolatory/asymptotic properties

## Beyond Polynomial: Rational Model


[Screenshots in every 100 epochs]
Rational Neural Network: iteratively close to the target

## Beyond Polynomial: Rational Model

## Polynomial

Thomas N. Kipf et al. (2016)
$\mathbf{g} * x=\mathbf{U g}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}^{\boldsymbol{\top}} x$
$\approx \mathbf{U} \sum_{k} \theta_{k} T_{k}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) \mathbf{U}^{\top} x \quad\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}=\frac{2}{\lambda_{\max }} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}-\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{N}}\right)$
$=\sum_{k} \theta_{k} T_{k}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}) x$
$\left(\mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{k} \mathbf{U}^{\boldsymbol{\top}}=\left(\mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^{\boldsymbol{\top}}\right)^{k}\right)$
$=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{L}) x$

## Rational z. Chen etal. (2018)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{g}_{\theta} * x=\mathbf{U} \mathrm{g}_{\theta} \mathbf{U}^{\top} x \\
& \approx\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { (convolution theorem) } \\
1+\sum_{i=0}^{n} \psi_{i} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}^{i} \phi_{j} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}^{j} \\
\mathbf{U}^{\top} x
\end{array}\right. \\
&\left.=\mathbf{U} \frac{\mathbf{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})}{\frac{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{\Lambda})} \mathbf{U}^{\top} x,}\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{L}) \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{L})^{-1} x
\end{aligned}
$$



## Beyond Polynomial: Rational Model

## Polynomial

$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{L}) x$

Label propagation

Rational
$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{L}) \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{L})^{-1} x$
Label propagation
Reverse Label propagation

Pull Over-smooth issue


## Beyond Polynomial: Rational Model

## Polynomial

$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{L}) x$

Label propagation

## Rational



Fig. 6. Left: Residual Learning $x^{\prime}=F(x)+x$; Right: Rational Aggregation: $x^{\prime}=F(x)+x$

## Reverse Label propagation

## Beyond Polynomial: Rational Model

Johannes Klicpera et al. (2018)
Personalized Page Rank (information retrieval)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathrm{ppr}}\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{x}\right)= & (1-\alpha) \hat{\tilde{A}} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathrm{ppr}}\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{x}\right)+\alpha \boldsymbol{i}_{x} \\
& (1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

PPNP
Personalized Propagation of Neural Predictions


Use personalized PageRank matrix $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\mathrm{ppr}}$ to propagate further while retaining information about root node, adjust via teleport probability $\alpha$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\mathrm{ppr}}=\alpha\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{n}-(1-\alpha) \hat{\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}}\right)^{-1}
$$

$\frac{\alpha}{1-(1-\alpha) \lambda}$

## Beyond Polynomial: Rational Model

Johannes Klicpera et al. (2018)
Personalized Page Rank
(information retrieval)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathrm{ppr}}\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{x}\right)= & (1-\alpha) \hat{\tilde{A}} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathrm{ppr}}\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{x}\right)+\alpha \boldsymbol{i}_{x} \\
& (1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

Filippo Maria Bianchi etal. (2018)

## ARMA

(time series)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\mathbf{X}}^{(t+1)}=a \mathbf{M} \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{(t)}+b \mathbf{X} \\
a
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { next }=\alpha \text { current }+\beta \text { original }
$$



## Spatial-based GNN

function of Adjacency

Linear


GCN Thomas $N$. Kipf etal. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}) \hat{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$

GraphSAGE Will Hamilton et al. (2017)

$$
\dot{\mathbf{Z}}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$

GIN Xukeyu Lu et al. (2019)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=(1+\epsilon) \cdot \mathbf{h}(v)+\sum_{u_{j} \in \mathcal{N}\left(v_{i}\right)} \mathbf{h}_{\left(u_{j}\right)}=[(1+\epsilon) \mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}] \mathbf{X}
$$

DeepWalk Bryan Perozzi et al. (2014)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\frac{1}{t+1}\left(\mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{2}+\ldots+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{t}\right) \mathbf{X}=\frac{1}{t+1} \mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$

ChebyNet Defferrard, Michael et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_{k} T_{k}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}) \mathbf{X}=\left[\tilde{\theta}_{0} \mathbf{I}+\tilde{\theta}_{1}(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{A}})+\tilde{\theta}_{2}(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{A}})^{2}+\ldots\right] \mathbf{x}=\left(\phi \mathbf{I}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi_{i} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{i}\right) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$

Node2Vec Aditya Grover et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\left(\frac{1}{p} \cdot \mathbf{I}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}+\frac{1}{q}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\right)\right) \mathbf{X}=\left[\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{I}+\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{A}}+\frac{1}{q} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{2}\right] \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{X}
$$

Personalized PageRank Johannes Klicpera etal. (2018)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{I}-(1-\alpha) \tilde{\mathbf{A}}} \mathbf{X}
$$

ARMA Filter Filippo Maria Bianchi et al. (2018)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\frac{b}{\mathbf{I}-a \tilde{\mathbf{A}}} \mathbf{X}
$$

Auto Regressive Filter Qimai Lietal. (2019)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=(\mathbf{I}+\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{L}})^{-1} \mathbf{X}=\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I}+\alpha(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{A}})} \mathbf{X}
$$

## Spectral-based GNN

function of Eigenvalues
Spectral

Linear


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{G C N} \text { Thomas N. Kipf et al. (2016) } \\
& \qquad \mathbf{Z}=\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}(2-\Lambda) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

GraphSAGE will Hamilton et al. (2017)

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{I}+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}) \mathrm{X}=(2 \mathrm{I}-\tilde{\mathrm{L}}) \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{U}(2-\Lambda) \mathrm{U}^{\top} \mathrm{X}
$$

GIN Xukeyu Lu et al. (2019)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}[(1+\epsilon) \mathbf{I}+\mathbf{A}] \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}[(2+\epsilon) \mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathrm{L}}] \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}(2+\epsilon-\Lambda) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

DeepWalk Bryan Perozzi et al. (2014)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\frac{1}{t+1}\left(\mathbf{I}+(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})+(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})^{2}+\ldots+(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}+\theta_{2} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{2}+\ldots+\theta_{t} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{t}\right) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

ChebyNet Defferrard, Michael et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_{k} T_{k}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{0} \cdot 1+\tilde{\theta}_{1} \Lambda+\tilde{\theta}_{2} \Lambda^{2}+\ldots\right) \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

Node2Vec Aditya Grover et al. (2016)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \mathbf{I}-\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{L}}+\frac{1}{q} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{2}\right] \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)-\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) \tilde{\Lambda}+\frac{1}{q} \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}\right] \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

Personalized PageRank Johannes Klicpera et al. (2018)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{I}-(1-\alpha)(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha \mathbf{I}+(1-\alpha) \Lambda} \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

ARMA Filter Filippo Maria Bianchi et al. (2018)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\frac{b}{1-a(\mathbf{I}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}})} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U} \frac{b}{(1-a) \mathbf{I}+a \Lambda} \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

Auto Regressive Filter Qimai Lietal. (2019)

$$
\mathbf{Z}=(\mathbf{I}+\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{L}})^{-1} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U} \frac{1}{1+\alpha(1-\Lambda)} \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

## The Unified Framework



Spatial

Spectral
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## Spatial v.s. Spectral

|  | Methodology | Computation | Space Complexity | Stability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spectral | Global | One-step | High | Exact |
| Spatial | Local | Iterative | Low | Approximate |

- Approximation by Implicit Matrix Factorization (MF)
- Word2Vec Tomas Mikolvet etal. (2013)

W2V as Implicit MF omer Levyetal (2014)


Matrix Factorization, $O\left(n^{3}\right)$


Shifted PMI (co-occurrence matrix)

## Spatial v.s. Spectral

|  | Methodology | Computation | Space Complexity | Stability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spectral | Global | One-step | High | Exact |
| Spatial | Local | Iterative | Low | Approximate |

- Approximation by Implicit Matrix Factorization (MF)
- SpectralNet Urishahametal. (2018)

Matrix Factorization
$L_{\text {SpectralNet }}(\theta)=\frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} W_{i, j}\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{2}$


## Spatial v.s. Spectral

|  | Methodology | Computation | Space Complexity | Stability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spectral | Global | One-step | High | Exact |
| Spatial | Local | Iterative | Low | Approximate |

- Approximation by Implicit Matrix Factorization (MF)
- DeepWalk Bryan Perozzi etal. (2014)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \left(\frac{|(w, c)| \cdot|\mathcal{D}|}{|w| \cdot|c|}\right)-\log b=A \boldsymbol{B}^{\top} \\
& \log (\mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}))-\log (b)=\log \left(\frac{|E|}{T}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{A}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{r}}\right) \mathbf{D}^{-1}\right)-\log (b)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why Rational, and Why Not?

- Most graph signals are homophily (not homogenous)
- Low-frequency signals

Maehara, T. (2019). Revisiting graph neural networks: All we have is low-pass filters. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.09550.

- Approximation theory: rational is better when order $\geq 5$
- Target signals (function of eigenvalues) should be not simple, smooth.
- Computational Complexity
- Matrix Inversion


## Future Direction

- PDE
- Wave v.s. Diffusion
- Spectral graph beyond simple type
- Signed, directed, hypergraph, multilayer network
- Dynamic graph
- Graph wavelet

○ LLM
2.5 COMPARISON OF WAVES AND DIFFUSIONS

| Property |  | Waves | Diffusions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) | Speed of propagation? | Finite ( $\leq c$ ) | Infinite |
| (ii) | Singularities for $t>0$ ? | Transported along characteristics $($ speed $=c)$ | Lost immediately |
| (iii) | Well-posed for $t>0$ ? | Yes | Yes (at least for bounded solutions) |
| (iv) | Well-posed for $t<0$ ? | Yes | No |
| (v) | Maximum principle | No | Yes |
| (vi) | Behavior as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ ? | Energy is constant so does not decay | Decays to zero (if $\phi$ integrable) |
| (vii) | Information | Transported | Lost gradually |

## Related Resources

## Awesome Spectral Graph Neural Networks

```
PRs Welcome to awesome
```
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## Conclusion

- Connection between spectral and spatial domain
- Spatial: function of adjacency matrix
- Spectral: function of eigenvalues
- Linear, polynomial and rational function
- more power, more computation
- Computation
- Spatial method: iterative and cheap approximation
- Spectral method: one-step, expensive and exact


[^0]:    Space convolution = frequency multiplication

[^1]:    You, Jiaxuan, Zhitao Ying, and Jure Leskovec. "Design space for graph neural networks." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (2020)

